

## REMARKS

Claims 1-20 remain in the present application. Claims 1, 4, 8, 10, 12 and 14 are amended herein. Applicants respectfully assert that no new matter has been added as a result of the claim amendments. Applicants respectfully request further examination and reconsideration of the rejections based on the amendments and arguments set forth below.

### Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. §103

#### Claims 1-3, 6, 10-11 and 15-16

Claims 1-3, 6, 10-11 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent Number 5,994,937 to Hara et al. (hereafter referred to as “Hara”), in view of United States Patent Number 5,926,045 to Kwon (hereafter referred to as “Kwon”), and further in view of United States Patent Number 6,031,366 to Mitsuishi (hereafter referred to as “Mitsuishi”). Applicants have reviewed the cited references and respectfully assert that the embodiments of the present invention as recited in Claims 1-3, 6, 10-11 and 15-16 are not rendered obvious by Hara in view of Kwon, and further in view of Mitsuishi for the following reasons.

Applicants respectfully direct the Examiner to independent Claim 1, which recites a timer circuit comprising (emphasis added):

an output stage coupled to a configurable delay element, wherein said configurable delay element comprises a plurality of selectively-activated components operable to adjust a delay through said timer circuit; and

a pull-down path coupled to said output stage and comprising a circuit for providing a selectable amount of pull down current, said pull-down path also coupled to receive a reference signal that varies in proportion to temperature and wherein said delay through said timer circuit is inversely proportional to said temperature.

Independent Claim 10 recites similar limitations to independent Claim 1. Claims 2-3, 6, 11 and 15-16 depend from their respective independent claims and recite further limitations to the claimed invention.

Applicants respectfully assert that Hara fails to teach or suggest the limitation of a “wherein said configurable delay element comprises a plurality of selectively-activated components operable to adjust a delay through said timer circuit” as recited in independent Claim 1. As recited and described in the present application, a timer circuit comprises a configurable delay element for adjusting the delay through the timer circuit. The configurable delay element comprises a plurality of components which can be selectively activated to adjust the delay.

In contrast to the claimed embodiments, Applicants understand Hara to teach variable delay elements *without selectable components*. For example, Hara teaches variable delay elements 414 and 424 which are varied in response to a varying voltage reference signal  $V_{ref}$  (Figure 4; col. 4, lines 63-67). Further, Hara fails to teach or suggest selective coupling of delay elements 414 and 424. As such, Hara teaches away from the claimed embodiments by teaching a delay element *without selectable components* instead of a delay element with selectable components as claimed.

Applicants respectfully assert that both Kwon and/or Mitsuishi, either alone or in combination with Hara and/or one another, fail to cure the deficiencies of Hara discussed above with respect to independent Claim 1. Specifically, Applicants respectfully assert that Kwon and Mitsuishi also fail to teach or suggest the limitation of a “wherein said configurable delay element

comprises a plurality of components which can be selectively activated to adjust a delay through said timer circuit” as recited in independent Claim 1.

For these reasons, Applicants respectfully assert that independent Claim 1 is not rendered obvious by Hara in view of Kwon, and further in view of Mitsubishi, thereby overcoming the 35 U.S.C. §103(a) rejections of record. Since independent Claim 10 contains limitations similar to those discussed above with respect to independent Claim 1, independent Claim 10 also overcomes the 35 U.S.C. §103(a) rejections of record. Since Claims 2-3, 6, 11 and 15-16 recite further limitations to the invention claimed in their respective independent claims, Claims 2-3, 6, 11 and 15-16 also overcome the 35 U.S.C. §103(a) rejections of record. Therefore, Claims 1-3, 6, 10-11 and 15-16 are allowable.

Claims 4-5, 7-9, 12-14 and 17-20

Claims 4-5, 7-9, 12-14 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Hara in view of Kwon, further in view of Mitsubishi, and further in view of United States Patent Number 6,388,490 to Saeki (hereafter referred to as “Saeki”). Applicants have reviewed the cited references and respectfully assert that the embodiments of the present invention as recited in Claims 4-5, 7-9, 12-14 and 17-20 are not rendered obvious by Hara in view of Kwon, further in view of Mitsubishi, and further in view of Saeki for the following reasons.

Applicants respectfully direct the Examiner to independent Claim 17, which recites a method of varying a delay of a timer circuit comprising (emphasis added):

during configuration of said timer circuit, setting a first plurality of configuration bits which control the amount of elements coupled to an output stage of said timer circuit to set an amount of delay through said timer circuit;

during said configuration, setting a second plurality of configuration bits which control an amount of pull down current through a pull down path of said timer circuit to set an amount of delay through said timer circuit, said pull down path coupled to said output stage; and

during operation of said timer circuit, varying a reference signal coupled to said pull down path to vary delay through said timer circuit inversely proportional to temperature of said timer circuit.

Claims 18-20 depend from independent Claim 17 and recite further limitations to the claimed invention.

Applicants respectfully submit that Hara fails to teach or suggest the limitation of a “during configuration of said timer circuit, setting a first plurality of configuration bits which control the amount of elements coupled to an output stage of said timer circuit to set an amount of delay through said timer circuit” and “during said configuration, setting a second plurality of configuration bits which control an amount of pull down current through a pull down path of said timer circuit to set an amount of delay through said timer circuit, said pull down path coupled to said output stage” as recited in independent Claim 17. As recited and described in the present application, a configuration stage and operation stage of the timer circuit exist. During the configuration stage, configuration bits are set to control the delay through the timer circuit by determining an amount of elements coupled to the output stage of the timer circuit. Additionally, a second set of configuration bits are set during configuration to control an amount of pull-down current of the timer circuit.

In contrast to the claimed embodiments, Applicants respectfully assert that the cited Hara/Kwon/Mitsuishi/Saeki combination fails to teach or suggest separate configuration and operation stages of a timer circuit. Further, the cited

combination also fails to teach or suggest setting *two sets of configuration bits* during configuration to control *both* the delay of the output stage and an amount of pull-down current of the timer circuit.

For these reasons, Applicants respectfully assert that independent Claim 17 is not rendered obvious by Hara in view of Kwon, further in view of Mitsuishi, and further in view of Saeki, thereby overcoming the 35 U.S.C. §103(a) rejections of record. Since Claims 4-5, 7-9, 12-14 and 18-20 recite further limitations to the invention claimed in their respective independent claims, Claims 4-5, 7-9, 12-14 and 18-20 also overcome the 35 U.S.C. §103(a) rejections of record. Therefore, Claims 4-5, 7-9, 12-14 and 17-20 are allowable.

### CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully assert that Claims 1-20 are in condition for allowance and Applicants earnestly solicit such action from the Examiner.

The Examiner is urged to contact Applicants' undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present Application.

Please charge any additional fees or apply any credits to our PTO deposit account number: 23-0085.

Respectfully submitted,

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO, LLP

Dated: 11/21, 2006

BMF

Bryan M. Failing  
Registration No. 57,974

Two North Market Street  
Third Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
(408) 938-9060